

**BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO
AS THE DULY CONSTITUTED ELECTORAL BOARD**

Objections of: JASON C. ERVIN)
)
)
)
)
)
To the Nomination) No. 08-EB-WC-36
Papers of: CAROL G. JOHNSON)
)
Candidate for the office of)
Ward Committeeman for the 28th Ward of)
the City of Chicago, Democratic Party)

FINDINGS AND DECISION

The duly constituted Electoral Board, consisting of Chicago Board of Election Commissioners Langdon D. Neal, Richard A. Cowen, and Marisel A. Hernandez, organized by law in response to a Call issued by Langdon D. Neal, Chairman of said Electoral Board, for the purpose of hearing and passing upon objections ("Objections") of JASON C. ERVIN ("Objector") to the nomination papers ("Nomination Papers") of CAROL G. JOHNSON, candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 28th Ward of the City of Chicago, Democratic Party ("Candidate"), having convened on November 26, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., at 69 W. Washington Street, 8th Floor Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and having heard and determined the Objections to the Nomination Papers in the above-entitled matter, finds that:

1. Objections to the Nomination Papers of the Candidate herein were duly and timely filed.
2. The Electoral Board has been legally constituted according to the laws of the State of Illinois.

3. A Call to the hearing on said Objections was duly issued by the Chairman of the Electoral Board and served upon the members of the Electoral Board, the Objector and the Candidate, by registered or certified mail and by Sheriff's service, as provided by statute.

4. A public hearing held on these Objections commenced on November 26, 2007 and was continued from time to time.

5. The Electoral Board assigned this matter to Hearing Examiner Kelly McCloskey Churf for further hearings and proceedings.

6. The Objector and the Candidate were directed by the Electoral Board to appear before the Hearing Examiner for a hearing on the date and at the time designated on the Electoral Board's docket. The following persons, among others, were present at such hearing: the Objector(s), JASON C. ERVIN, appearing by counsel, James P. Nally; and the Candidate, CAROL G. JOHNSON appearing pro se. Attorney Charles W. Pulliam filed an appearance on behalf of the Candidate on November 27, 2007.

7. The Objector raised several objections to the Candidate's Nomination Papers, including allegations against the validity of individual signatures, identifying them by sheet and line, and that the Candidate's petition did not contain a sufficient number of valid signatures to meet the minimum signature requirement for the office sought.

8. The Hearing Examiner ordered that an examination of the registration records be conducted by clerks and agents under the Board's direction and supervision, in accordance with the laws of Illinois and the rules of the Board.

9. The Hearing Examiner directed all parties to appear and be present, either personally and/or by their authorized representatives during this records examination.

10. The Candidate or her duly authorized representative(s) was present during the examination of the registration records.

11. The Objector or his duly authorized representative(s) was present during the examination of the registration records.

12. The examination of the registration records was completed and the Electoral Board hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the results of the records examination conducted by its clerks and agents. The written report of the results of the registration records examination is contained in the Electoral Board's file in this case and is available for inspection upon request of a party.

13. The results of the records examination conducted in this case indicate that:

A. The minimum number of valid signatures required by law for placement on the ballot for the office in question is 355;

B. The number of purportedly valid signatures appearing on the nominating petition filed by the Candidate total 614;

C. The number of signatures deemed invalid because of objections sustained during the records examination total 433;

D. The remaining number of signatures deemed valid total 181.

14. The Hearing Examiner has tendered to the Electoral Board her report and recommended decision. The Hearing Examiner recommends that the Objections to the Candidate's Nomination Papers be sustained and that the Nomination Papers be found invalid.

15. The Electoral Board, having reviewed the record of proceedings in this matter and having considered the report and recommendations of the Hearing Examiner, as well as all argument and evidence submitted by the parties, hereby adopts the Hearing Examiner's recommended findings and conclusions of law. A copy of the Hearing Examiner's Report and Recommended Decision is attached hereto and is incorporated herein as part of the decision of the Electoral Board.

16. The Electoral Board finds, therefore, that the Objector's Petition is sustained in part and overruled in part as more fully indicated in the results of the records examination.

17. The Electoral Board finds that the Candidate has an insufficient number of valid signatures on her Nomination Papers to be placed upon the official ballot as a candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 28th Ward of the City of Chicago, Democratic Party.

18. For the reasons stated above, the Electoral Board sustains the Objections to the Candidate's Nomination Papers and finds that the Nomination Papers of CAROL G. JOHNSON are not valid.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Objections of JASON C. ERVIN to the Nomination Papers of CAROL G. JOHNSON, candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 28th Ward of the City of Chicago, Democratic Party, are hereby SUSTAINED and said Nomination Papers are hereby declared INVALID and the name of CAROL G. JOHNSON, candidate for election to the office of Ward Committeeman for the 28th Ward of the City of Chicago, Democratic Party, SHALL

NOT be printed on the official ballot for the General Primary Election to be held on February 5, 2008.


Dated: Chicago, Illinois, this 7th day of December, 2007.



Langdon D. Neal, Chairman



Richard A. Cowen, Commissioner



Marisel A. Hernandez, Commissioner

NOTICE: Pursuant to Section 10-10.1 of the Election Code (10 ILCS 5/10-10.1) a party aggrieved of this decision and seeking judicial review of this decision must file a petition for judicial review with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County within 10 days after the decision of the Electoral Board.

SERVICE ATTACHED

BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO
AS THE DULY CONSTITUTED ELECTORAL BOARD

Objections of: Jason C. Ervin)
)
To the Nomination of Papers of:)
Carol G. Johnson)
) No. 08-EB-WC-36
Candidate for Democratic Committeeman)
Of the 28th Ward)

2007 DEC - 3

HEARING EXAMINER'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter coming before the duly constituted Electoral Board, consisting of Chicago Board of Election Commissioners, and before the undersigned Hearing Examiner, the Hearing Examiner hereby makes the following Report and Recommendation:

1. The Candidate filed Nomination Papers as a Candidate for the Democratic Committeeman of the 28th Ward. Such Nomination Papers consist of: a) Statement of Candidacy; and b) Nomination Petition Sheets.

2. The Objector's Petition to the Nomination Papers of the Candidate was timely filed on November 16, 2007. In the Petition, the Objector alleges that the Candidate's Nomination Papers contain: a) signatures which are not genuine and are forgeries; b) "signatures" which are not signed but printed; c) names of persons who are not registered voters at the addresses shown opposite their respective names; d) names of persons which are not in the 28th Ward in the City of Chicago; e) names of persons for whom the signer's address is missing or incomplete; f) names of persons who have signed the Nomination Papers more than one time; g) Petition Sheets which bear a Circulator's affidavit which is not properly signed by the Circulator; h) Petition Sheets which bear a Circulator's affidavit on which the Circulator's address is incomplete; i) Petition Sheets which bear a Circulator's affidavit which is not properly sworn to before a Notary Public; j) Petition Sheets which bear a Circulator's affidavit which does not fully set forth the date or range of dates on which the sheet was circulated and which also does not state that no signatures were obtained more than 90 days before the last day for filing the Petition; k) Petition Sheets which bear a Circulator's affidavit with a Circulator not of legal age to circulate the Petition; l) Petition Sheets for which the Circulator's affidavit is false; and m) Petition Sheets which demonstrate a pattern of fraud. Attached to the Objector's Petition is an Appendix-Recapitulation consisting of 25 sheets.

3. A Call to the hearing on said Objections was duly issued by the Chairman of the Electoral Board and served upon the members of the Electoral Board, the Objector and the Candidate, by certified mail or by Sheriff's service, as provided by statute.

4. The initial hearing on these Objections was called on November 26, 2007. James P. Nally appeared on behalf of the Objector. The Candidate appeared *pro se*.

5. At the November 26, 2007 hearing, the following documents were received into evidenced: a) Group Exhibit A – the Candidate’s Nomination Papers; b) Group Exhibit B – Objector’s Petition; c) Group Exhibit C – Proof of service of the Call to the Candidate and Objector; and d) Group Exhibit D—the Appearance Forms filed by the Candidate and Mr. Nally, on behalf of the Objector.

6. At the November 26, 2007 hearing, the Hearing Examiner advised the parties that the Candidate’s Motion to Strike was due on November 27, 2007 and the Objector’s Response to the Motion to Strike was due on November 28, 2007. The Hearing Examiner also advised the parties that a Records Examination Directive would be issued and that the parties would be given a day’s notice of the Records Examination. The Hearing Examiner scheduled the status hearing for December 3, 2007 but advised the parties that it may be rescheduled depending upon the date of the Records Examination.

7. In a letter dated November 27, 2007, the Hearing Officer notified the Candidate and Mr. Nally that because the Records Examination was scheduled for November 27, 2007 or November 28, 2007, the status hearing would be re-scheduled for November 29, 2007 at 11:00 a.m.

8. Subsequent to the service of the November 27, 2007 letter on the parties, the Hearing Examiner received a Substitute Appearance for the Candidate with Charles W. Pulliam appearing on behalf of the Candidate.

9. Mr. Nally and Mr. Pulliam notified either the Hearing Examiner or the Board of their unavailability to attend the November 29, 2007 status hearing and in a letter dated November 28, 2007, the Hearing Officer notified Messrs. Pulliam and Nally that the status hearing would be rescheduled for November 30, 2007 at 10:30 a.m.

10. The Records Examination commenced on November 28, 2007. The Candidate objected to the Records Examination on that date, and the Records Examination was rescheduled for November 29, 2007. The Candidate was properly notified of the November 29, 2007 Records Examination and watchers appeared on behalf of the Candidate and the Objector.

11. On November 29, 2007 at approximately 4:45 p.m., Mr. Pulliam, on behalf of the Candidate, served the Hearing Examiner with a letter requesting that the status hearing be rescheduled from November 30, 2007 until December 1, 2007 and that the Records Examination be rescheduled.

12. On the morning of November 30, 2007, Mr. Pulliam telephoned the Hearing Examiner and confirmed that he would attend the status hearing of that date. In addition, on the morning of November 30, 2007, the Hearing Examiner served Mr. Pulliam and copied Mr. Nally with a letter confirming that the Records Examination commenced on November 29, 2007 with proper notice and that Mr. Pulliam had not previously objected to the November 30, 2007 hearing date.

13. A status hearing was held on November 30, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. Mr. Nally appeared on behalf of the Objector. Mr. Pulliam appeared on behalf of the Candidate. The Candidate also appeared. At the status hearing, the Hearing Examiner confirmed with Mr. Pulliam that a Motion to Strike had not been filed by the Candidate. The Hearing Examiner also advised the parties that they would be notified of the results of the Records Examination and that assuming a Rule 7 Request was not made, any Rule 8 Statement must be filed with the Board and served on the Hearing Examiner within 2 business days of receipt of the results of the Records Examination. The Hearing Examiner further advised the parties that pursuant to Rule 16 of the Board's Rules of Procedure, business days will include Saturday and Sunday. The Hearing Examiner set an evidentiary hearing on any issues for December 5, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. and a case management conference for December 3, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

14. On November 30, 2007, the Records Examination was completed. The Candidate needed 355 signatures to be on the ballot. The Candidate submitted 614 signatures. 433 objections were sustained leaving 181 valid signatures which is 174 signatures short of the required signatures.

15. Both parties were notified of the results of the Records Examination on November 30, 2007.

16. The Candidate did not file either a Rule 7 Request or a Rule 8 Statement.

17. The case management conference hearing was held on December 3, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. At the hearing, the Hearing Examiner entered into evidence the results of the Records Examination as Exhibit E. The Hearing Examiner confirmed that neither a Rule 7 Request nor a Rule 8 Statement was filed by the Candidate. The Hearing Examiner read Rule 8 of the Board's Rules of Procedures which states that "[a] party shall, in presenting any evidence or argument relating to any signature examined in a records examination, be limited to those signatures identified by petition sheet and line number in the party's written statement or outline and shall not be permitted to present evidence or argument as to any signature not contained in such written statement or outline." Since the Candidate did not file a Rule 7 Request, a Rule 8 Statement or a Motion to Strike, the Hearing Examiner found that the hearing scheduled for December 5, 2007 was unnecessary.

18. At the case management conference hearing, Mr. Pulliam, on behalf of the Candidate, stated that he may want to file a motion to strike regarding the number of signatures required to be a Candidate for the Democratic Committeeman of the 28th Ward for the City of Chicago. Argument was heard on this matter, namely the timeliness for bringing such a motion to strike.

19. The Hearing Examiner announced her recommendations which are as follows:

a. That a Motion to Strike filed after November 27, 2007 (the date the Hearing Examiner ordered the Motion to Strike to be filed) is untimely and should not be considered;

b. That the results of the Records Examination show that 433 objections were sustained, leaving the Candidate with 181 valid signatures which is 174 signatures short of the required signatures.

c. That since the Candidate did not file a Rule 7 Request, a Rule 8 Statement, or a Motion to Strike and given the results of the Records Examination, the Objections should be sustained and the Candidate's name should not be printed on the ballot as a candidate for election to the office of the Democratic Ward Committeeman of the 28th Ward in the City of Chicago, County of Cook, State of Illinois for the General Primary Election to be conducted on February 5, 2008.

Date: December 3, 2007



Kelly McCloskey Cherf
Hearing Examiner

Hogan Marren, Ltd.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

180 North Wacker Drive
Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 946-1800
(312) 946-9818 Fax

November 27, 2007

Carol G. Johnson
152 N. Leamington
Chicago, IL 60644
Via email: johnson9448@sbcglobal.net

James P. Nally
Law Office of James P. Nally, P.C.
8 South Michigan, Suite 3500
Chicago, IL 60603
Via facsimile: 312-346-7999

Re: *Ervin v. Johnson*
Case No. 08-EB-WC-36

Dear Ms. Johnson and Mr. Nally:

Attached please find the status report that was filed in the above-captioned case on Monday, November 26, 2007. The clerk from the Chicago Board of Elections has notified me that the records examination in the above-captioned case will occur on Tuesday, November 27, 2007 or Wednesday, November 28, 2007. It is my understanding that you will be notified of the date and time. Since the records examination will be completed by November 28, 2007, we will have a status hearing on this matter on Thursday, November 29, 2007 at 11:00 a.m. Please contact me immediately at kmc@hmltd.com or 312-540-4421 if you are unavailable at that time. The December 3, 2007 status hearing will be stricken.

Very truly yours,



Kelly McCloskey Cherf

KMC/bam
Encl.

cc: John Powell (via email: J.P7959@hotmail.com)

Hogan Marren, Ltd.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

180 North Wacker Drive
Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 946-1800
(312) 946-9818 Fax

November 28, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE
708-757-5642

Charles W. Pulliam
53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1523
Chicago, IL 60604

Re: *Ervin v. Johnson*
Case No. 08-EB-WC-36

Dear Mr. Pulliam:

This morning I received your appearance form and letter dated November 27, 2007. Per your request, I contacted you this morning and left you a voice mail.

As neither you nor Mr. Ervin's attorney are available for a status hearing tomorrow, I have rescheduled the status hearing for Friday, November 30, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. The purpose of the status hearing is to determine if a hearing is necessary following the results of the Records Examination which has been noticed for today. The Board notified Mrs. Johnson of the Records Examination yesterday. As I explained to both parties at the initial hearing on November 26, 2007, all issues regarding objections to the candidate's nominating petition must be resolved expeditiously. Therefore, as I also previously explained to both parties, we would attempt to have the Records Examination done as soon as possible and the December 3, 2007 hearing date may be rescheduled depending on the date of the Records Examination. If there is a need for a hearing in this matter, I intend to notice one for early next week. Therefore, I would like a status hearing sometime this Friday or at the latest on Saturday.

Please contact me immediately if you are unavailable to attend the status hearing on Friday, November 30, 2007 at 10:30 a.m.

Very truly yours,



Kelly McCloskey Cherf

KMC/bam

cc: James P. Nally (via facsimile 312-346-7999)
John Powell (via email J_P7959@hotmail.com)

CHARLES W. PULLIAM
ATTORNEY AT LAW
53 W. JACKSON BLVD., #1523
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604
312/427-1142 TELEPHONE
312/427-3369 FAX

DATE: November 29, 2007

ATTN: Kelly McCloskey Chert, Attorney at Law
Hogan, Marren, Ltd.

Cc: James P. Nally, via fax to 312/346-7999

BY TELEFAX TO: 312/946-9818

REF: Ervin v. Johnson, Case No.: 08-EB-WC-36

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER SHEET: 3

MESSAGE:

I have enclosed a copy of my letter of today, along with a copy an Order entered by the
Appellate Court, State of Illinois.

If you have any questions regarding this fax, please call:
Office: 312/427-1142
Fax: 312/427-3369

This communication is for the exclusive and confidential use of the designated recipient. Any other distribution or use is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return the original message to the above address via U.S. Mail.

CHARLES W. PULLIAM
Attorney At Law
53 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 1060
Chicago, IL 60604

Charles W. Pulliam
Attorney at Law

Phone (312) 427-1142
Fax (312) 427-3369

Email: Charlespulliam@sbcglobal.net

BY TELEFAX TO 312/946-9818

November 29, 2007

Kelly McCloskey Cherf
Hogan Marren, Ltd.
Attorneys at Law
180 North Wacker Drive, Suite 600
Chicago, IL 60606

Re: Ervin v. Johnson, Case No.: 08-EB-WC-36

Dear Mrs. Cherf:

Thank you for your letter of November 28, 2007 in which I received by fax. I am in the process of moving my office.

I faxed you a letter on November 27, 2007 and filed my appearance for Ms. Johnson by fax on the same day. Prior to faxing the material to you, I discussed my representation of Ms. Johnson and schedule with Steve of the Board of Election Commissioners.

I am an attorney of long standing and believe in acting expeditiously. As I previously mentioned to you, Ms. Johnson advised me that the next matter to occur in this matter would take place on December 3rd. She did not receive any written material from you outlining the time for particular events.

I would prefer that the status hearing be conducted on Saturday rather than on Friday morning. I suggest that you confer with both attorneys' prior to scheduling an evidentiary hearing.

I respectfully request that the binder examination be reconducted. I failed to see in the Rules where important dates can be unilaterally set and conducted. No emergency situation has been explained to me.

I have enclosed a copy of an order entered by the Illinois Appellate Court. Legal services have been performed at "breakneck" speed in this case.

Yours very truly,

Charles W. Pulliam
Charles W. Pulliam

Cc: Ms. Carol G. Johnson.
James P. Nally (via fax 312/346-7999)

1-07-06-15

**IN THE APPELLATE COURT, STATE OF ILLINOIS
FIRST DISTRICT, SECOND DIVISION**

**Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. as
Custodian or Trustee, fka Bankers Trust
Co. of California N.A.**

Plaintiff, Appellee

VS.

**Ray Wimby; Hal Brown; Bertha Douglas
a/k/a Bertha Brown; Unknown Owners and
Nonrecord Claimants,**

Defendants, Appellants.

) **Appeal from the Circuit**
) **Court of Cook County**
)
) **Trial Court No. 02 CH 19874**
)
) **Honorable Darryl B. Shuko**
) **Judge Presiding**
)
)
)

ORDER

This cause having come before the Court on the Motion for Extension of Time in which to File Defendants-Appellants' Brief, the Court having reviewed the Motion, Affidavit and attachments extend the time for filing the record in the Appellate Court to December 3, 2007.

MOTION GRANTED

ENTER



Justice

Justice

Justice

ORDER ENTERED

NOV 16 2007

APPELLATE COURT, FIRST DISTRICT

STEVEN M. RAVID, CLERK OF THE APPELLATE COURT, FIRST DISTRICT

Charles W. Pulliam, 22619
Attorney at Law
53 W. Jackson Blvd., #1523
Chicago, IL 60604
312/427-1142

Hogan Marren, Ltd.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

180 North Wacker Drive
Suite 600
Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 946-1800
(312) 946-9818 Fax

November 30, 2007

VIA FACSIMILE
708-757-5642

Charles W. Pulliam
53 W. Jackson Blvd., Suite 1523
Chicago, IL 60604

Re: *Ervin v. Johnson*
Case No. 08-EB-WC-36

Dear Mr. Pulliam:

I am in receipt of your letter dated November 29, 2007 which was faxed to my office at approximately 4:45 p.m. yesterday. Last night, I also received a voice mail message from your assistant.

As I explained to Ms. Johnson at the initial hearing and explained to you in our telephone conversation on November 28, 2007, the proceedings in this matter must occur expeditiously. The Records Examination in this case commenced on November 28, 2007. Ms. Johnson objected to the Records Examination on that date, and the Records Examination was rescheduled for yesterday, November 29, 2007. Ms. Johnson was properly notified of the Records Examination and it is my understanding a watcher appeared on her behalf. Please review the November 26, 2007 Status Report which specifically states that the parties were advised that they will be given a day's notice of the records examination.

As I advised both parties at the initial hearing and as set forth in the November 26, 2007 Status Report, the December 3, 2007 status date may be rescheduled depending upon the date of the Records Examination. Because the Records Examination was initially scheduled for November 28, 2007, I advised the parties on November 27, 2007 that the status hearing was rescheduled until November 29, 2007 at 11:00 a.m. As neither you nor Mr. Ervin's attorney were available on November 29, 2007, in a letter dated November 28, 2007, I notified both you and Mr. Nally that the status hearing would be rescheduled for November 30, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. On November 28, 2007, our office attempted to fax to you the letter at the fax number listed on your appearance form. As there was no answer at the fax machine number, we subsequently faxed and emailed the letter to the number and address listed in the Sullivan's Law Directory. I also left you a voice mail message the morning of November 28, 2007.

You and I did speak later in the afternoon on November 28, 2007 at which time I again advised you of the Records Examination on November 29, 2007 and the status hearing on November 30, 2007 at 10:30 a.m. It was my understanding that you were available on that date and at no time during the telephone conversation did you state you were unavailable.

Charles W. Pulliam
November 30, 2007
Page 2

Hogan Marren, Ltd.

I appreciate the fact that you have a busy schedule. However, this case, along with all the other cases pending before the Chicago Board of Elections, must proceed expeditiously. In order to accommodate everyone's schedule, I am available to meet over the weekend and at all hours. The principal purpose of today's status hearing is to determine if another hearing is necessary, and if so, the parties' need for subpoenas. Please be advised that to the extent another hearing is necessary, I intend to schedule it for the beginning of next week.

It is my understanding that Ms. Johnson has already provided you with a copy of the Board's Rules of Procedure. Please let me know if you need another copy and one will be provided to you.

Very truly yours,



Kelly McCloskey Cherf

KMC/bam

cc: James P. Nally (via facsimile 312-346-7999)
John Powell (via email J_P7959@hotmail.com)

HP LaserJet 3050

Fax Call Report

HP LASERJET FAX

Dec-3-2007 5:17PM

Job	Date	Time	Type	Identification	Duration	Pages	Result
519	12/ 3/2007	5:12:17PM	Send	3467999	5:12	12	OK

**BOARD
GROUP EXHIBIT D
Appearances**

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO
AS THE DULY CONSTITUTED ELECTORAL BOARD

Eric
Objectors)

vs.

John
Candidates

Case No. DEBWC 36

APPEARANCE

The undersigned hereby makes his/her appearance (either in person or by attorney (state email) for
James P. Kelly PC and designates the following address and telephone
(print name of Objector or Candidate here)
number(s) for Service or Notice of Filing pursuant to Rules 2 and 15 of the Electoral Board's Rules of
Procedure:

Law Office of
Name: JAMES P. KELLY PC
(print your name here)

Address: 8 S. Michigan #3500
(print your street address, suite, room, unit or apartment number here)
Chicago, IL Zip 60603
(print your city, village or town and State here) (print your Zip Code here)

Telephone Number(s) 312-422-5560
(office) (home)

I agree to accept service by facsimile at the following fax number: 312-346-7999

Date: 11/26/07

Check here if you are a licensed attorney: [Signature]
(print your name here)

IMPORTANT NOTE: An objector or a candidate may appear in person on his or her own behalf ("pro se") and participate in the Electoral Board proceedings, or they may be represented by an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois. Non-attorneys may not appear on behalf of or represent another party in Electoral Board proceedings, but non-attorneys may participate in records examinations or additional records examinations under Rules 6 and 7 of the Electoral Board's Rules of Procedure. See Rule 2 of the Electoral Board's Rules of Procedure for more detailed explanation.

IMPORTANT NOTE: The numbers listed shall be available for calls during the Board's working hours, including Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays.

Spoke to Mr Pulliam on 12/03

~5:10pm to request to FAX HE

Recommendation. He said he would
get back to me. I called him ~5:25

to tell him Recommendation he hung up
on me.

Steven Lieslich

Called 5:50 to give new FAX #

~~Hand deliver on 12/04~~